



भारत सरकार

Government of India

खान मंत्रालय

Ministry of Mines भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

Indian Bureau of Mines

Mine Code: 34RAJ26001

दिनांक: 20.09.2021

माखुपूरा औद्वोगिक क्षेत्र,

Makhupura, Industrial Area

अजमेर.-305 002 (राजस्थान) Ajmer- 305 002 (Rajasthan)

पंजीकृत पावती उल्लंघन पत्र

संख्या : राज / उदय / सीसाजस्ता-03 / खंड-III

प्रेषित : ख्रान एजेण्ट

बलारिया लेड-जिंक खदान मैसर्स हिन्दुस्तान जिंक लि.

पोस्ट-जावर,,जिला-उदयपुर-313901(राजस्थान)

राजस्थान राज्य के उदयपुर ज़िले में स्थित आपकी बलारिया लेड-जिंक खदान क्षेत्रफल 3620.00 हैक्टेयर में खनिज संरक्षण एवं ईमेल-kishore.s@vedanta.co.in

विकास नियमावली, 2017 के नियमों का उल्लंघन।

अधोहस्ताक्षरी द्वारा दिनांक 26.08.2021 को श्री देबान्शु चटर्जी, खान प्रबंधक एवं श्री के. साईराज, जियोलॉजिस्ट की उपस्थिति में किये गये उपरोक्त खान के निरीक्षण के दौरान पाया गया कि आपकी उपरोक्त खान में खनिज संरक्षण एवं विकास नियमावली 2017 के

अधोहस्त ये उपरोक्त खा	गक्षरी द्वारा दिन	क 26.08.2	2021 का जा प नामा गया वि	के आपकी	उपरोक्त खान	में खनिज	। सरक्षण ५०	1997Ki i	<u> </u>		
ये उपरोक्त खा	न के निरीक्षण	क दारान	:-		<u> </u>	िप्तत हो।	л				
म्नलिखित उपब	न्धों का उल्लंघ	4 gon e		उल्लंघन	के प्रकार का	C Harring	nrovisions	of appro	ved Review of		
नियम				ed out in v	violation of	following	g provision.				
11(1)	उल्लंघन के प्रकार का विस्तृत ब्यौरा उल्लंघन के प्रकार का विस्तृत ब्यौरा The mining operations are carried out in violation of following provisions of approved Review of										
	Mining Plan (Approved on 1712										
	(i) Proposed Exploration Drilling					Actual Exploration Diffing					
	Year	P	roposed Explo	oration Drilling		Surface		Undergro	und		
	2020-21	Surface		Underground		No. of		No. of	Meterage		
		No. of Bore	Meterage	No. of Bore	Meterage	Bore	Meterage	Bore	Miciorage		
						Holes		Holes	47621		
		Holes		Holes	47000	3	662	383	11 moterage		
		12	12 12000 725 47000 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						f bore holes as well as meterage		
	Holes 12000 725 47000 3 662 383 The second of the second o										
	1: Janaround exploiation shorten										
						Actual Co Botton					
	(ii) Proposed UG Development(m)					8792					
	12000										
	I leastion										
	It shows that shortfall in UG development. (iii) During the year 2020-21, plantation has not been carried out on proposed location. (iii) During the year 2020-21 submitted vide email dated 29.07.2021. The following										
	(iii) During the year 2020-21, plantation has not been carried out on proposed location. (iii) During the year 2020-21, plantation has not been carried out on proposed location. A yearly PMCP report for the year 2020-21 submitted vide email dated 29.07.2021. The following the year gap filling										
26 (2)	1	DA (CI)	ranort III II	C your -					C11!		
26 (2)	A yearly PMCP report for the submitted report: discrepancies were observed in the submitted report: discrepancies were observed in the submitted report: discrepancies were observed 2 hect, over waste/ barren land whereas during the year gap filling										
	A yearly PMCP report for the submitted report: discrepancies were observed in the submitted report: (i) Plantation area has been reported 2 hect. over waste/ barren land whereas during the year gap filling										
	plantation has been carried out.										
	plantation has been carried out. (ii) The figures of cumulative plantation as on 31.03.2021 are not correct. (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as on 31.03.2021 are not correct.										
	(ii) The	figures of	cumulative p	-wing die	crepancies v	vere obse	rved:		not matching wit		
15(7)	In annu	al return	2020-21 foll	OWING UIS	se area util	ization at	the end o	t year is	Hot matering		
45(7)	(ii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation as one reconstruction (iii) The figures of cumulative plantation (iii) The figures of cumulative plantati										
	approved mining plan.										
	2)	 Under item 12 of Part-1, Ecase and approved mining plan. In part III depreciation reported Rs. 269475475 so per ton cost should be Rs 370.73 under the part III depreciation reported Rs. 1067.10 per ton under head 									
		approved mining plan. 2) In part III depreciation reported Rs. 269475475 so per ton cost should be Rs 376.76 2) In part III depreciation reported Rs. 1067.10 per ton under head head depreciation, Whereas in Part VII you have reported Rs.									
		depreciation. depreciation. "The depoyer '0', whereas in Part VII you have reported Rs									
	3)	head depreciation, whereas in 7 and depreciation. depreciation. 3) In part III overhead expenditures had shown '0', whereas in Part VII you have reported Research to a part of production.									
	 3) In part III overhead experience 255.13 per ton under head overhead. 4) Details of DMF & NMET have not been given in Part VII- Cost of production. 										
	4)	Details	of DMF & 1	NMET has	ve not occir	6.101.		7			
	-1)										

	5) Cost of production per tonne in part VII is not matching with expenditure details given in Part II & Part III.					
	6) Under item 4.3 of Part V, Underground development figures reported 13688m whereas actual development is 8792m during the year.					
	7) Under item 4.4 of Part V, Plantation details not matching with submitted PMCP report.					
	8) Details have not been furnished under item 7,8 & 9 in Part-V.					
	9) Content of Silver, cadmium and other associates mineral in the ore & concentrate have not been furnished.					
47	Notice of sinking of bore holes in Form-I in respect of exploration drilling has not been submitted for the					
1010	current financial year 2021-22.					

02. इस संदर्भ में आपका ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त नियमों का उल्लंघन खनिज संरक्षण एवं विकास नियमावली, 2017 के नियम 62 के अंतर्गत दंडनीय अपराध है एवं उपरोक्त नियमों के उल्लंघन की अनुपालना नहीं होने पर खनिज संरक्षण एवं विकास नियमावली 2017 के अन्तर्गतः

- (क) आपकी खदान की सारी संक्रियाएं बंद की जा सकती हैं।
- (ख) आपके विरूद्ध अभियोग दायर किया जा सकता है।
- (ग) खनन पट्टा खंडन की अनुसंशा की जा सकती है।

03. अतः आपको सलाह दी जाती है कि आप उपरोक्त उल्लंघनों का अतिशीघ्र सुधार करें एवं इसके सुधार की स्थिति की सूचना इस पत्र के जारी होने की दिनांक से 45 (पैतालीस) दिनों के भीतर इस कार्यालय को प्रेषित करें।

(डी. आर. गुजर) वरिष्ठ सहायक खान नियंत्रक भारतीय खान ब्यूरो

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ प्रेषित :-1 खान नियंत्रक (उत्तर), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, उदयपुर र्डिमेष्ट कार्रा 2 निदेशक, खान एवं भूविज्ञान विभाग, राजस्थान सरकार, उदयपुर।

- 3 खनि अभियंता, खान एवं भूविज्ञान विभाग, राजस्थान सरकार, उदयपूर।

4. रक्षित पत्रावली।

वरिष्ठ सहायक खान नियंत्रक भारतीय खान ब्यूरो